

Skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras

Bo Hou^{*}, Shilin Yang[†]

College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

Abstract: Suppose that Q is a finite quiver and $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$ is a finite group, k is an algebraic closed field whose characteristic does not divide the order of G . For any algebra $\Lambda = kQ/\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I}$ is an arbitrary ideal of path algebra kQ , we give all the indecomposable ΛG -modules from indecomposable Λ -modules when G is abelian. In particular, we apply this result to the deformed preprojective algebra Π_Q^λ , and get a reflection functor for the module category of $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$. Furthermore, we construct a new quiver Q_G and prove that $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ is Morita equivalent to $\Pi_{Q_G}^\eta$ for some η .

Keywords: Skew group algebra, Deformed preprojective algebra, Reflection functor, Group species

1 Introduction

Let k be a field and $Q = (I, A)$ a finite quiver with a finite set I of vertices and a finite set A of arrows. For each arrow $a \in A$, its head vertex and tail vertex are denoted by $h(a)$ and $t(a)$ respectively. For each $i \in I$ we denote by e_i the primitive idempotent of path algebra kQ corresponding to vertex i . The double quiver of Q is denoted by $\overline{Q} = (I, \overline{A})$, which is obtained from Q by adding an arrow $\alpha' : j \rightarrow i$ whenever there is an arrow $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ in Q . Given a $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in k^I$, let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of path algebra $k\overline{Q}$ generated by the deformed preprojective relations

$$\sum_{a \in A, h(a)=i} aa' - \sum_{a \in A, t(a)=i} a'a - \lambda_i e_i, \quad \forall i \in I,$$

The quotient algebra $\Pi_Q^\lambda := k\overline{Q}/\mathcal{I}$ is called a deformed preprojective algebra of Q . In particular, if $\lambda = 0$, Π_Q^λ is called a preprojective algebra of Q , which is denoted by Π_Q .

Preprojective algebras were introduced by Gelfand and Ponomarev [1] to study preprojective representations of a finite quiver without oriented cycle. Subsequently it was discovered that preprojective algebras occur naturally in very diverse contexts. For example, they have been used by Kronheimer [2] to deal with the problems in differential geometry, also by Lusztig [3, 4] in his perverse sheaf approach to quantum groups. In 1998, deformed preprojective algebras were introduced by Crawley-Boevey and Holland [5] to study noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. They are generalized preprojective algebras. In the papers [6], [7], the quotient algebras of deformed preprojective algebras were used to realize the restricted quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Recently, Demonet proved that skew group algebras of preprojective algebras are Morita equivalent to preprojective algebras [8].

Skew group algebras appear in connection with the study of singularities [9, 10]. They are studied by many authors (see for example [12], [13], [14], [15]). For the study of the representation theory of

^{*} E-mail: houbo@emails.bjut.edu.cn; [†] E-mail: slyang@bjut.edu.cn

[†] Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants. 10771014)

skew group algebras, the readers refer to [13] (see also [11]). Let Λ be a k -algebra with a group G acting on Λ , then the skew group algebra ΛG is an associative k -algebra whose underlying k -vector space is spanned by the elements ga for $g \in G$ and $a \in \Lambda$, and the multiplication is defined by

$$ga \cdot hb = gh h^{-1}(a)b,$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and $a, b \in \Lambda$ (see [13]).

It is well-known that skew group algebras ΛG retain many features from Λ , such as representation-finite, an Auslander algebra, a piecewise hereditary algebra, a Nakayama algebra, or a Koszul algebra, and so on (see [11, 12, 14, 15, 16]). But there are many problems unsolved about the relationships between Λ and ΛG . The aim of this paper is to consider what kind of Λ -modules can induce ΛG -modules, and if a Λ -module induce a ΛG -module, how many isomorphism classes of such induced ΛG -module?

As far as we know, in [17] the authors studied the relationships between ΛG and Λ under the assumption that Λ is a path algebra and G is a cyclic group. In Section 2, we will discuss the same problems for an arbitrary algebra $\Lambda = kQ/\mathcal{I}$ and an arbitrary finite group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I})$, where $\text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I}) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Q) \mid \alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}\}$. $\text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is called the automorphism group of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$. If k is an algebraic closed field whose characteristic does not divide the order of G , it is shown that Λ -module Y is a ΛG -module if and only if Y is a G -invariant Λ -module. Sequentially, for any indecomposable Λ -module X , if $H_X = \{g \in G \mid {}^g X \cong X\}$ is an abelian group, we describe all the Λ -module structures on the G -invariant Λ -module $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ by the irreducible decomposition $kH_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i$ as H_X -representations.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose X is a finite dimensional indecomposable Λ -module, H_X is abelian. Then for any ΛG -module Y , if $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ as Λ -modules, there exists a unique $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $Y \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (L_i \otimes_k X)$. That is, there are r non-isomorphic ΛG -modules induced from the indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$.

In fact, if $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is a finite abelian group, we can get all the indecomposable ΛG -modules from indecomposable Λ -modules.

Theorem 1.2. If a finite group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is abelian, then a finite dimensional Λ -module Y is an indecomposable ΛG -module if and only if Y is an indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module, that is, $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$, for some indecomposable Λ -module X .

According to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, for a skew group algebra ΛG with G is abelian, all finite dimensional ΛG -modules can be obtained from indecomposable Λ -modules. The number of non-isomorphic indecomposable ΛG -modules induced from the same indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module can be given. In particular, all finite dimensional $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -modules from indecomposable Π_Q^λ -modules can be constructed.

In [5], Crawley-Boevey and Holland introduced an interesting reflection functor for deformed preprojective algebras. In Section 3, we will define a reflection $r_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ on dimension vectors and a reflection $d_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ on k^I . Then we use Crawley-Boevey and Holland's reflection functor to construct a reflection functor for skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for any $i, j \in I$ in the same G -orbit, i is a loop-free vertex and $\lambda_i \neq 0$. If G is abelian and the action of G is admissible, then there is an equivalence

$$F_i : \Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-}\mathbf{mod} \longrightarrow \Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda} G\text{-}\mathbf{mod},$$

that acts as the reflection $r_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ on dimension vectors.

Recently, Demonet has introduced the definition of group species and their representations, and proved that skew group algebras of preprojective algebras are Morita equivalent to preprojective algebras [8]. In fact, it also holds for deformed preprojective algebras. In Section 4, we will give the group species corresponding to quiver $Q = (I, A)$ with $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$ and construct a new quiver $Q_G = (I_G, (A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)})_{((i,\rho),(j,\sigma)) \in I_G^2})$. We get the following Morita equivalence.

Theorem 1.4. If $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in k^I$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for any $i, j \in I$ in the same G -orbit, then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \simeq \Pi_{Q_G}^\eta \text{-}\mathbf{Mod},$$

where $\eta = (\eta_{(i,\rho)}) \in k^{I_G}$, and $\eta_{(i,\rho)} = \frac{\dim_{k\rho}}{|G|} \lambda_i$. In particular, $\Pi_Q G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \simeq \Pi_{Q_G} \text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$.

Consequently, the study of the skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras can be reduced to the study of deformed preprojective algebras. Finally, as an example, the quiver Q_G is given for any Dynkin quiver Q with $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$.

In this paper, we suppose that $Q = (I, A)$ is a finite quiver and $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$ is a finite group with unit e . Let k be an algebraic closed field whose characteristic does not divide the order of G , i.e., $\text{char } k \nmid |G|$. For any algebra Λ , we denote by $\Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ the category of Λ -modules, by $\Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ the full subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ consisting of finite dimensional Λ -modules. The readers refer to [11] for the knowledge of representation of quiver and bound quiver.

2 Module category of skew group algebras

In this section, we suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is an arbitrary ideal of path algebra kQ ($\mathcal{I}$ is not necessarily admissible), $\Lambda = kQ/\mathcal{I}$, and $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is a finite group. All modules (or representations) are finite dimensional. $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is the category of finite dimensional representations of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$.

Let X be a Λ -module, $g \in G$. We define a twisted Λ -module ${}^g X$ on X as follows: as a k -vector space ${}^g X = X$, the action on ${}^g X$ is given by $a \cdot x = g^{-1}(a)x$ for all $a \in \Lambda$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Λ -module homomorphism, then the map ${}^g \varphi = \varphi$ can be viewed as the twisted Λ -module homomorphism: ${}^g X \rightarrow {}^g Y$. Indeed, for $x \in X$ and $a \in \Lambda$, we have $\varphi(a \cdot x) = \varphi(g^{-1}(a)x) = g^{-1}(a)\varphi(x) = a \cdot \varphi(x)$.

It is well-known that categories $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \mathcal{I})$ and $\Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ are equivalent. Therefore, for any $X \in \Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$, there is one and only one representation $(X_i, X_a)_{i \in I, a \in A}$ of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ corresponding to X . It is easy to see that $({}^g X_i = X_{g^{-1}(i)}, {}^g X_a = X_{g^{-1}(a)})_{i \in I, a \in A}$ is the representation of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ corresponding to ${}^g X$. For $X, Y \in \Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ and homomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, we define a functor F_g by $F_g(X) = {}^g X$ and $F_g(\varphi) = {}^g \varphi$. One can check that

$$F_g : \Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$$

is an equivalence functor. The inverse is $F_{g^{-1}}$. It follows that for each $g \in G$, $X \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$ is indecomposable (projective, injective, simple) if and only if so is gX .

Definition 2.1. (1) Given any $g \in G$, a representation X of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ (or $X \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$) is said to be **g -invariant** if ${}^gX \cong X$ as representations of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$.

(2) A representation X of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be **G -invariant** if X is g -invariant for any $g \in G$.

(3) A G -invariant representation X of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is called **indecomposable G -invariant** if X is nonzero and X can not be decomposed as $X \cong X' \oplus X''$, where X' and X'' are nonzero G -invariant representations.

For each $X \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$, let $H_X = \{g \in G \mid {}^gX \cong X\}$. Clearly, H_X is a subgroup of G . If we denote by G_X a complete set of left coset representatives of H_X in G , then we have

Lemma 2.1. Any indecomposable G -invariant representation of bound quiver $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ is of the form $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^gX$ where X is an indecomposable $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation. Moreover, the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for G -invariant representations.

Proof. Let X be an indecomposable $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation. Since $\bigoplus_{g \in E} {}^gX$ is not G -invariant for any proper subset E of G_X and $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^gX \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^{hg}X$ for any $h \in G$, $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^gX$ is an indecomposable G -invariant $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation.

Let Y be an indecomposable G -invariant $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation, this means that ${}^gY \cong Y$ for any $g \in G$. Then, if an indecomposable $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation X occurs in summands of Y , then all isomorphism classes in $\{{}^gX \mid g \in G\}$ occur in summands of Y and only once, i.e., $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^gX$.

Finally, for any G -invariant $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation Y , if an indecomposable $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation X occur in summands of Y , then there is a G -invariant $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representation Y' such that $Y \cong Y' \oplus (\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^gX)$. Therefore, we can find finite numbers of indecomposables $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representations $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ such that $Y \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\bigoplus_{g \in G_{X_i}} {}^gX_i)$ by induction on dimension of Y . The uniqueness follows from the Krull-Schmidt theorem for finite dimensional $(Q, \mathcal{I})$ -representations. $\square$

Now we consider the ΛG -modules, we have

Lemma 2.2. Any ΛG -module Y is a G -invariant Λ -module.

Proof. Let Y be a ΛG -module. We need show that ${}^gY \cong Y$ for any $g \in G$. Indeed, for any $g \in G$, we define a map $f_g : {}^gY \rightarrow Y$ by $f_g(y) = gy$ for all $y \in Y$. Then, for all $a \in \Lambda$ and $y \in Y$, we have

$$f_g(a \cdot y) = g(a \cdot y) = g(g^{-1}(a)y) = a(gy) = af_g(y).$$

That is, f_g is a Λ -module homomorphism, and hence f_g is an isomorphism. Its inverse is $f_{g^{-1}} : Y \rightarrow {}^gY$ such that $f_{g^{-1}}(y) = g^{-1}y$ for all $y \in Y$. $\square$

Now, we consider ΛG -module V as a Q -representation $(V_i, V_a)_{i \in I, a \in A}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

- (1) If vertices i and j are in the same G -orbit, then $V_i \cong V_j$ as vector spaces;
- (2) If arrows a and b are in the same G -orbit, then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{t(a)} & \xrightarrow{V_a} & V_{h(a)} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ V_{t(b)} & \xrightarrow{V_b} & V_{h(b)} \end{array}$$

such that f and g are isomorphisms. In this case, we denote by $V_a \approx V_b$.

Lemma 2.3. (1) A Λ -module Y is a ΛG -module if and only if for any $g \in G$ there is a Λ -module homomorphism $\varphi_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\varphi_g^{|g|} := g^{|g|-1} \varphi_g \circ \cdots \circ {}^g \varphi_g \circ \varphi_g = \text{id}_{{}^g Y},$$

where $|g|$ is the order of g . In this case, we call that the ΛG -module Y is induced by $\{\varphi_g\}$. In particular, each G -invariant Λ -module is a ΛG -module.

(2) For any $g \in G$, if there are two Λ -module homomorphisms $\varphi_g, \psi_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$, satisfying $\varphi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{{}^g Y}$ and $\psi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{{}^g Y}$ respectively. We denote by Y_1, Y_2 the ΛG -modules on Y induced by $\{\varphi_g\}$ and $\{\psi_g\}$. Then $Y_1 \cong Y_2$ as ΛG -modules if and only if there is a Λ -module isomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y$, such that $f\varphi_g = \psi_g f, \forall g \in G$. In this case, we call $\{\varphi_g\}_{g \in G}$ equivalent to $\{\psi_g\}_{g \in G}$.

Proof. (1) Assume that Y is a ΛG -module, we define the map $\varphi_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$ by $y \mapsto gy$. Clearly, $\varphi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{{}^g Y}$, and for any $a \in \Lambda$ and $y \in Y$, we have

$$\varphi_g(ay) = (ga)y = (g(a)g)y = g(a)gy = g(a)\varphi_g(y).$$

This means that φ_g is a Λ -module homomorphism. Conversely, if there exists a Λ -module homomorphism $\varphi_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{{}^g Y}$ for each $g \in G$, we define the action of ΛG on Y by $gay = \varphi_g(ay)$ for any $ga \in \Lambda G, y \in Y$. Then Y is a ΛG -module. Indeed,

$$ga(hby) = \varphi_g(a(\varphi_h(by))) = \varphi_g(\varphi_h(h^{-1}(a)by)) = (ghh^{-1}(a)b)y = (ga \cdot hb)y,$$

for all $ga, hb \in \Lambda G, y \in Y$.

Let Y be a G -invariant Λ -module. That is, there exists a module isomorphism $\theta_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$ for every $g \in G$. As observed in [18, P.95], there exists a module isomorphism $\varphi_g : {}^g Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{{}^g Y}$. So, Y is a ΛG -module.

(2) If there is a Λ -modules isomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $f\varphi_g = \psi_g f$ for any $g \in G$. Then

$$f(gay) = f(\varphi_g(ay)) = \psi_g f(ay) = \psi_g a f(y) = ga f(y),$$

for any $ga \in \Lambda G$ and $y \in Y$, i.e., $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a ΛG -modules isomorphism. Conversely, if there exists a ΛG -modules isomorphism $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, one can check that f is a Λ -modules isomorphism and satisfy $f\varphi_g = \psi_g f$ for any $g \in G$. $\square$

By Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, each Λ -module Y is a ΛG -module if and only if Y is a G -invariant Λ -module. But for a G -invariant Λ -module Y , It is possible that there are many non-equivalent Λ -modules isomorphisms $\{\varphi_g\}$ induce ΛG -module structure on Y .

Question. How many non-isomorphic ΛG -module structures are induced on a G -invariant Λ -module?

In the paper [17] the answers have been given when $\Lambda = kQ$, Q is a finite quiver without oriented cycles, and G is a finite cyclic group. In this section, if X is an indecomposable Λ -module satisfying H_X is an abelian group, we will give the answer to this question on indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ (see Theorem 1.1) by the similar method. Firstly, we need some preparations.

The following lemma can be found in [13] for skew group algebras of Artin algebras. In fact, it holds for ΛG in general.

Lemma 2.4. Let X, Y be indecomposable Λ -modules and $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$, then

- (1) $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G} {}^g X$ as Λ -modules;
- (2) $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} Y$ if and only if $Y \cong {}^g X$ for some $g \in G$;
- (3) The number of summands in the direct sum of indecomposable modules of $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ is at most $|H_X|$.

Proof. (1) Note that the subspace $g \otimes_{\Lambda} X = \{g \otimes x \mid x \in X\}$ of $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ has a natural Λ -module structure given by $a(g \otimes X) = g \otimes g^{-1}(a)x$. For any $g \in G$, it is easy to see that ${}^g X \cong g \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ as Λ -modules. We have $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X = \bigoplus_{g \in G} g \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G} {}^g X$.

(2) It is easy to see that $\varphi : \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} {}^g X$ given by $\varphi(h \otimes x) = hg \otimes x$ is a ΛG -module isomorphism. If $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} Y$, then Y is a summand of $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G} {}^g X$ as Λ -modules by the statement (1). It follows that $Y \cong {}^g X$ for some $g \in G$ since Y and ${}^g X$ are indecomposable.

(3) Let $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X = Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_t$, where $Y_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ is an indecomposable ΛG -module. For each i , we have $Y_i \cong \bigoplus_{g \in S} {}^g X$ as Λ -module, where S is a subset of G . Note that $G_X \subseteq S$. We get $t \leq |H_X|$. $\square$

From now on, we assume that X is an indecomposable Λ -module such that $H_X = \{g \in G \mid {}^g X \cong X\}$ is an abelian group. It follows that the regular representation kH_X can be decomposed as

$$kH_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i,$$

where ρ_i are one dimensional irreducible H_X -representations, $r = |H_X|$, and $\rho_i \not\cong \rho_j$ if $i \neq j$.

Since X is an H_X -invariant Λ -module, X has a natural ΛH_X -module structure by Lemma 2.3. Thus, $\rho_i \otimes_k X$ has also a natural ΛH_X -module defined by $ga(l \otimes x) = gl \otimes gax$ for any $ga \in \Lambda H_X$ and $l \otimes x \in \rho_i \otimes_k X$. Similarly, $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X)$ is a ΛH_X -module given by $(gaf)(x) = gaf(x)$, for $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X)$, $ga \in \Lambda H_X$, and $x \in X$; $\rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$ is ΛH_X -module given by $ga(l \otimes f) = gl \otimes gaf$ for $h \otimes f \in \rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$ and $ga \in \Lambda H_X$, where $(gaf)(x) = gaf(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Claim. $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$ as ΛH_X -modules.

Indeed, we define $F_h : \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X) \rightarrow \rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$ by $f \mapsto h \otimes \tilde{f}$, for each $0 \neq h \in \rho_i$, where $\tilde{f}(x) = ly$ if $f(x) = l \otimes y$, $l \in \rho_i$, $x, y \in X$. The homomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$, this follows from the fact that $f(ax) = af(x) = a(l \otimes y) = l \otimes ay$, and hence $\tilde{f}(ax) = lay = aly = a\tilde{f}(x)$, for $a \in \Lambda$, $x \in X$. This means that F_h is well defined.

Now, we show that F_h is a ΛH_X -module homomorphism. For any $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X)$ and $g \in H_X$, we have $(gf)(x) = gf(x) = g(l \otimes y) = gl \otimes gy$. Note that $gl = \alpha l$ for some $\alpha \in k$ since $\dim_k \rho_i = 1$. Therefore, $\tilde{g\tilde{f}}(x) = \alpha lgy = \alpha gly = \alpha g\tilde{f}(x)$ and $F_h(gf) = h \otimes \tilde{g\tilde{f}} = h \otimes \alpha g\tilde{f} = \alpha h \otimes g\tilde{f} = gh \otimes g\tilde{f} = g(h \otimes \tilde{f}) = gF_h(f)$. So F_h is a kH_X -module homomorphism. Moreover, since $(af)(x) = af(x) = a(l \otimes y) = l \otimes ay$, $\tilde{af}(x) = lay = aly = a\tilde{f}(x)$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X)$, $a \in \Lambda$, F_h is indeed a Λ -module homomorphism.

Finally, noting that F is injective and $\dim_k \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, \rho_i \otimes_k X) = \dim_k \rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_{\Lambda}(X)$, we have F is a ΛH_X -module isomorphism.

For convenience, let us denote

Condition C: X is an indecomposable Λ -module and $H_X = \{g \in G \mid {}^g X \cong X\}$ is abelian. $kH_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i$ is the irreducible decomposition of kH_X as H_X -representations, $r = |H_X|$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that **Condition C**. Then for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, we have

- (1) $\rho_i \otimes_k X \cong X$ as Λ -modules and $\rho_i \otimes_k X$ is indecomposable as a ΛH_X -module for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$;
- (2) $\rho_i \otimes_k X \not\cong \rho_j \otimes_k X$ as ΛH_X -modules, if $i \neq j$.
- (3) $\Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i \otimes_k X$ as ΛH_X -modules;
- (4) For any ΛH_X -module Y , if $Y \cong X$ as Λ -modules, then there exists a unique $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $Y \cong \rho_i \otimes_k X$ as ΛH_X -modules. Hence there are r non-isomorphic ΛH_X -modules induced from X .

Proof. (1) For each $0 \neq l \in \rho_i$, we define a bijection $f : X \rightarrow \rho_j \otimes_k X$ by $x \mapsto l \otimes x$. Observe that f is a Λ -module homomorphism since $f(ax) = l \otimes ax = a(l \otimes x) = af(x)$ for all $a \in \Lambda$, $x \in X$. Therefore, $\rho_i \otimes_k X$ is an indecomposable Λ -module, and hence an indecomposable ΛH_X -module.

(2) Assume that $\rho_i \otimes_k X \cong \rho_j \otimes_k X$. We have $\rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_\Lambda(X) \cong \rho_j \otimes_k \text{End}_\Lambda(X)$ by the claim. Since $\text{End}_\Lambda(X)/\text{radEnd}_\Lambda(X) \cong k$ and $\text{radEnd}_\Lambda(X)$ is closed under the action of H_X , we have

$$\rho_i \otimes_k \text{End}_\Lambda(X)/\text{radEnd}_\Lambda(X) \cong \rho_j \otimes_k \text{End}_\Lambda(X)/\text{radEnd}_\Lambda(X).$$

This means $\rho_i \cong \rho_j$ as H_X -modules and we get a contradiction.

(3) By [19, Lemma 3.2.1], $\rho_i \otimes_k X \mid \Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$. This induce that $\rho_i \otimes_k X \mid \Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda X$ since $\rho_i \otimes_k X \cong X$ as Λ -modules. Note that $\rho_i \otimes_k X \not\cong \rho_j \otimes_k X$ if $i \neq j$, we have $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i \otimes_k X) \mid \Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda X$. That is, $\Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_i \otimes_k X$ by Lemma 2.4(3).

(4) If Y is a ΛH_X -module, and $Y \cong X$ as Λ -modules, then Y is an indecomposable ΛH_X -module. Since $Y \mid \Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda Y \cong \Lambda H_X \otimes_\Lambda X$, it is easy to see that there exists a unique $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $Y \cong \rho_i \otimes_k X$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. Assume that **Condition C**. Then we have for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$

- (1) $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ as Λ -modules;
- (2) $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$ is an indecomposable ΛG -module;
- (3) $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \not\cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_j \otimes_k X)$ as ΛG -modules, if $i \neq j$;
- (4) $\Lambda G \otimes_\Lambda X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$ as ΛG -modules;

Proof. (1) Since $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} g \otimes \rho_i \otimes X$ as ΛH_X -modules and $\rho_i \otimes X \cong X$ as Λ -modules, we have $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} g \otimes X \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$.

(2) The result follows from that $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ is an indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module.

(3) Suppose that $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_j \otimes_k X)$. Note that

$$\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} g \otimes \rho_i \otimes X$$

as ΛH_X -modules, we have $h \otimes \rho_i \otimes X \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_j \otimes_k X) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} g \otimes \rho_j \otimes X$. If $h \otimes \rho_i \otimes X \cong h \otimes \rho_j \otimes X$, then $\rho_i \otimes X \cong \rho_j \otimes X$ as ΛH_X -modules. This contradict to Lemma 2.5. If $h \otimes \rho_i \otimes X \cong g \otimes \rho_j \otimes X$ for some $h \neq g \in G_X$, we have $X \cong {}^g X$ as Λ -modules since $g \otimes \rho_j \otimes X \cong {}^g X$. This is also a contradiction.

(4) Note that $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$, by the statement (1) and Lemma 2.4(2) we have $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} (\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X)$ and $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X) \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Thus, $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)) \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$, and $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$ by Lemma 2.4(3). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, if ΛG -module Y satisfies $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ as Λ -modules, then Y is an indecomposable ΛG -module. By [19, Lemma 3.2.1], $Y \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} Y \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} (\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X)$. On the other hand, $\Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} {}^g X \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$ for any $g \in G$, we have $Y \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X$. Thus there exists a unique $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $Y \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_X} (\rho_i \otimes_k X)$, by Lemma 2.6(4) and Krull-Schmidt Theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose Y is an indecomposable ΛG -module. Then Y is a G -invariant Λ -module and $Y \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^s (\bigoplus_{g \in G_{X_j}} {}^g X_j)$ with some indecomposable Λ -modules $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s$. Since $Y \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} Y \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \bigoplus_{g \in G_{X_j}} \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} {}^g X_j$, there exists a_j such that $Y \mid \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda} X_j$. If we denote by $kH_{X_j} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_j} \rho_i^j$ the irreducible decomposition of kH_{X_j} as H_{X_j} -representations, then there exists a unique ρ_i^j such that $Y \cong \Lambda G \otimes_{\Lambda H_{X_j}} (\rho_i^j \otimes_k X_j)$ and $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_{X_j}} {}^g X_j$ as Λ -modules, by Lemma 2.6. Thus, Y is an indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module.

If Y is an indecomposable G -invariant Λ -module. By Lemma 2.3, Y is a ΛG -module and indecomposable since any ΛG -module is a G -invariant Λ -module. $\square$

According to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we can obtain all ΛG -modules from indecomposable Λ -modules under the assumption that G is abelian. In this case, for any indecomposable Λ -module X , the G -invariant Λ -module $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ has r non-isomorphic ΛG -module structures, where $r = |H_X|$. It is equivalent to say that there are r non-equivalent Λ -module isomorphisms $\{\varphi_g : {}^g X \rightarrow X\}$. Moreover, we have

Proposition 2.7. Suppose G is an abelian group. For any indecomposable ΛG -module Y , we have

- (1) Y is simple if and only if there exists a simple Λ -module $\mathcal{S}$, such that $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_{\mathcal{S}}} {}^g \mathcal{S}$.
- (2) Y is projective if and only if there exists an indecomposable projective Λ -module $\mathcal{P}$, such that $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_{\mathcal{P}}} {}^g \mathcal{P}$.
- (2) Y is injective if and only if there exists an indecomposable injective Λ -module $\mathcal{I}$, such that $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_{\mathcal{I}}} {}^g \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Suppose $Y = \bigoplus_{g \in G_{\mathcal{S}}} {}^g \mathcal{S}$ for some simple Λ -module $\mathcal{S}$. If there is a proper submodule Y' of Y , then Y' is a summand of Y as a Λ -module and $Y' = \bigoplus_{g \in E} {}^g \mathcal{S}$, where E is a proper subset of $G_{\mathcal{S}}$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2.1 since Y' is G -invariant. Conversely, Given a simple ΛG -module Y , by Theorem 1.2, there is an indecomposable Λ -module X , such that $Y \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$. If X is not a simple Λ -module, there exists a proper submodule X' of X . It follows that $\bigoplus_{g \in G_{X'}} {}^g X'$ is a proper submodule of Y . This is also a contradiction and the statement (1) is proved.

Since X is a projective ΛG -module if and only if X as Λ -module is projective (cf. [19, Lemma 3.1.7]), we get (2). By duality, we have (3). $\square$

Finally, as an example, we consider the skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras. For each finite group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$, G acts in a natural way on the double quiver $\overline{Q}$, i.e., $g(a') = g(a)'$

for all $g \in G$ and additive arrow a' .

The deformed preprojective algebras defined by $\Pi_Q^\lambda := k\overline{Q}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in k^I$, $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal of path algebra $k\overline{Q}$ generated by the deformed preprojective relations. Note that $g(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}$ for any $g \in G$ if and only if $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies

$$(*) : \quad \lambda_i = \lambda_j \text{ if vertices } i \text{ and } j \text{ are in the same } G\text{-orbit.}$$

Thus, if $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $(*)$, then $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(\overline{Q}, \mathcal{I})$ and we get

Corollary 2.8. If $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(\overline{Q}, \mathcal{I})$ is abelian and $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $(*)$, then a Π_Q^λ -module Y is an indecomposable (projective, injective) $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module if and only if Y is an indecomposable (projective, injective) G -invariant Π_Q -module. Moreover, for any G -invariant Π_Q -module Y , the number of non-isomorphic $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module structure on Y can be determined. $\square$

3 The reflection functors

In this section, we introduce a reflection functor for the module category of skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras.

Firstly, let us recall some notations. The dimension vector of any representation $V = (V_i, V_a)_{i \in I, a \in A}$ of quiver Q is denoted by $\mathbf{dim} V = (\dim_k V_i)_{i \in I}$. The Ringel form for Q is defined to be the bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z}^I$ with

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i - \sum_{a \in A} \alpha_{t(a)} \beta_{h(a)},$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. The bilinear form $(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ is the corresponding symmetric bilinear form.

We say that a vertex i is loop-free if there are no arrows $a : i \rightarrow i$, and if so, we define simple reflection $r_i : \mathbb{Z}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^I$ by

$$r_i(\alpha) = \alpha - (\alpha, \varepsilon_i) \varepsilon_i,$$

where ε_i is the coordinate vector at i . There is a dual reflection $d_i : k^I \rightarrow k^I$ define by $(d_i \lambda)_j = \lambda_j - (\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) \lambda_i$, for any $j \in I$, $\lambda \in k^I$. By direct calculation, we have $d_i \lambda \cdot \alpha = \lambda \cdot r_i \alpha$ for any $\lambda \in k^I$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^I$.

Let $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$ be a finite group. For $a \in A$, $i \in I$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_a$ and $\mathcal{O}_i$ the orbit of a and i respectively under the action of G , by $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$ the quiver obtained from Q by reversing the direction of all arrows in $\mathcal{O}_a$, and by $S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q$ the quiver obtained from Q by reversing the direction of all arrows a satisfying $h(a)$ or $t(a)$ in $\mathcal{O}_i$, respectively. Obviously, for any $i \in I$, there exist $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $\mathcal{O}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{a_j}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{a_j} \neq \mathcal{O}_{a_l}$ if $j \neq l$. Note that for any arrows $a, a' \in A$, a' is also a arrow in $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$ if $a' \notin \mathcal{O}_a$, we have

$$S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q = S_{\mathcal{O}_{a_n}} \cdots S_{\mathcal{O}_{a_2}} S_{\mathcal{O}_{a_1}} Q.$$

If we denote by b^* the reversed arrow in $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$ corresponding to b , the action of G on $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$ is defined by $g(b^*) = g(b)^*$, then $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q)$ and hence $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q)$, for all $a \in A$ and $i \in I$.

The action of G on Q is called admissible if Q have no arrows connecting two vertices in the same G -orbit. If the action of G is admissible, then

$$r_{\mathcal{O}_i} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{O}_i} r_j \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathcal{O}_i} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{O}_i} d_j$$

are well defined.

Considering the skew group algebra $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfying $(*) : \lambda_i = \lambda_j$ if vertices i and j are in the same orbit under the action of G . If the action of G is admissible, $d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda$ also satisfies $(*)$. Therefore, we get a new skew group algebra $\Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda} G$. By [5, Lemma 2.2], it is well known that a deformed preprojective algebra Π_Q^λ does not depend on the orientation of Q . Therefore, we have algebraic isomorphisms $\Pi_Q^\lambda \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q}^\lambda \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q}^\lambda$ for any $a \in A, i \in I$.

Lemma 3.1. $\Pi_Q^\lambda G \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q}^\lambda G \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q}^\lambda G$ as algebras for any $a \in A, i \in I$.

Proof. Note that the action of G on $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$ is given by $g(b^*) = g(b)^*$, for any $g \in G$ and any reversed arrow b^* of b in $S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q$, there is an isomorphism $F : \Pi_Q^\lambda G \rightarrow \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q}^\lambda G$ by sending b to $(b^*)'$ and b' to $-b^*$, for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_a$. We conclude that $\Pi_Q^\lambda G \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_a} Q}^\lambda G$, and $\Pi_Q^\lambda G \cong \Pi_{S_{\mathcal{O}_i} Q}^\lambda G$. $\square$

In [5], Crawley-Boevey and Holland introduced an interesting reflection functor for deformed preprojective algebras. We want to define a reflection functor for skew group algebras of deformed preprojective algebras.

Firstly, we recall the definition of the reflection functor defined in [5]. Let V be a Π_Q^λ -module. We can identify V with a representation $(V_i, V_a)_{i \in I, a \in \bar{A}}$ of double quiver $\bar{Q}$ satisfying the deformed preprojective relations

$$\sum_{a \in A, h(a)=j} V_a V_{a'} - \sum_{a \in A, t(a)=j} V_{a'} V_a - \lambda_j \text{id}_{V_j}, \quad \forall j \in I.$$

Suppose that $i \in I$ is loop-free, $\lambda_i \neq 0$, and no $a \in A$ such that $t(a) = i$. We define a new representation $E_i V = W := (W_i, W_a)_{i \in I, a \in \bar{A}}$ for the double quiver $\bar{Q}$ by let $W_i = \text{Ker} \pi$, $W_i = V_j$ for $j \neq i$, and with linear maps $W_a = V_a$, $W_{a'} = V_{a'}$ if $h(a) \notin \mathcal{O}_i$, while if $h(a) = j \in \mathcal{O}_i$,

$$W_a = -\lambda_j(1 - \mu\pi)\mu_a : W_{t(a)} \rightarrow W_j, \quad W_{a'} = \pi_a|_{W_j} : W_j \rightarrow W_{t(a)},$$

where $V_\oplus = \bigoplus_{a \in A, h(a)=j} V_{t(a)}$, $\mu_a : V_{t(a)} \rightarrow V_\oplus$, $\pi_a : V_\oplus \rightarrow V_{t(a)}$ is the canonical inclusion and projection, and $\mu = \sum_{a \in A, h(a)=j} \mu_a V_{a'}$, $\pi = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \sum_{a \in A, h(a)=j} V_a \pi_a$. One can check that $W = (W_i, W_a)_{i \in I, a \in \bar{A}}$ is a $\Pi_Q^{d_i \lambda}$ -module, and $E_i V = E_i V' \oplus E_i V''$ if $V = V' \oplus V''$. Therefore, $E_i V$ is indecomposable if and only if V is indecomposable.

Theorem 3.2 [5]. If i is a loop-free vertex, $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then there is an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} E_i : \quad \Pi_Q^\lambda\text{-mod} &\longrightarrow \Pi_Q^{d_i \lambda}\text{-mod} \\ V = (V_i, V_a)_{i \in I, a \in \bar{A}} &\mapsto W = (W_i, W_a)_{i \in I, a \in \bar{A}} \end{aligned}$$

that acts as the reflection r_i on dimension vector. $\square$

Now, we consider the action of G . If the action of G on Q is admissible and $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then functor $E_{\mathcal{O}_i} := \prod_{j \in \mathcal{O}_i} E_j : \Pi_Q^\lambda\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda}\text{-mod}$ is well defined, since there is no arrows in Q connecting two vertices in the same G -orbit and $\lambda_j \neq 0$ for each $j \in \mathcal{O}_i$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $E_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ is a reflection functor since so $E_j, j \in \mathcal{O}_i$ are.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that i is a loop-free vertex and $\lambda_i \neq 0$, and the action of G is admissible, then for each indecomposable Π_Q^λ -module X , we have

- (1) $H_X = H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$. In this case, we can take $G_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X} = G_X$.
(2) $E_{\mathcal{O}_i} {}^g X \cong {}^g(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X)$ as $\Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda}$ -modules, for each $g \in G$. Thus

$$E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X \right) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}} {}^g (E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X).$$

Proof. We can identify X with representations $(X_i, X_a)_{i \in I, a \in \overline{A}}$, and $E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X$ with $(Y_i, Y_a)_{i \in I, a \in \overline{A}}$, which are representations of double quiver $\overline{Q}$ satisfying the corresponding preprojective relations, respectively.

(1) For each $g \in H_X$, we have $X \cong {}^g X$. This means that $X_i \cong X_{g^{-1}(i)}$, $X_a \approx X_{g^{-1}(a)}$ as well as $X_{a'} \approx X_{g^{-1}(a')}$ for any $i \in I$, $a \in A$. By the definition of E_i , it is easy to see that $Y_i \cong Y_{g^{-1}(i)}$, $Y_a \approx Y_{g^{-1}(a)}$ and $Y_{a'} \approx Y_{g^{-1}(a')}$ for any $i \in I$, $a \in A$. Hence $g \in H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$ and $H_X \subseteq H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$. Similarly, we have $H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X} \subseteq H_X$ since $E_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ is a reflection functor. Therefore $H_X = H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$.

(2) Note that $E_j {}^g X \cong {}^g(E_{g(j)} X)$ as $\Pi_Q^{d_j \lambda}$ -modules for all $j \in \mathcal{O}_i$, $g \in G$, and $g(\mathcal{O}_i) = \mathcal{O}_i$. Accordingly, if $\mathcal{O}_i := \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$, it is easy to see that

$$E_{\mathcal{O}_i} {}^g X = E_{j_n} \cdots E_{j_2} E_{j_1} {}^g X \cong {}^g(E_{g(j_n)} \cdots E_{g(j_2)} E_{g(j_1)} X) = {}^g(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X).$$

Since the reflection functor E_i preserve direct sum, it follows that

$$E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X \right) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g (E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X) = \bigoplus_{g \in G_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}} {}^g (E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X).$$

The proof of the lemma is completed. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for indecomposable modules.

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, there is an indecomposable Π_Q^λ -module X such that $V \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ for any indecomposable $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module V . Note that the $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module structure on $\bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ is induced by some $\{\varphi_g\}$, where $\varphi_g : X^g \rightarrow X$ is Π_Q^λ -modules isomorphism and satisfies $\varphi_g^{|g|} = \text{id}_{X^g}$ for any $g \in G$. In this case, the $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module V is said to be induced by $\{\varphi_g\}$.

Given an indecomposable $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -module $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g X$ induced by $\{\varphi_g\}$. Note that $E_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ is the reflection functor of Π_Q^λ -modules, we have $E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \varphi_g : {}^g(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X) \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X$ is an isomorphism as Π_Q^λ -modules and $(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \varphi_g)^{|g|} = \text{id}_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$ for any $g \in G$. Now, we define $F_i V = \bigoplus_{g \in G_X} {}^g (E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X)$. Note that the $\Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda} G$ -module $F_i V$ is induced by $E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \varphi_g$.

Let us suppose that the $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ -modules V and V' are induced by $\{\varphi_g\}$ and $\{\psi_g\}$ respectively. For any homomorphism $f : V \rightarrow V'$ in $\Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-mod}$, we define the homomorphism $F_i f := E_{\mathcal{O}_i} f : F_i V \rightarrow F_i V'$. The functor F_i is well defined. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} F_i f(gav) &= E_{\mathcal{O}_i} f(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \varphi_g(av)) = E_{\mathcal{O}_i} (f \varphi_g)(av) = E_{\mathcal{O}_i} (\psi_g f)(av) \\ &= E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \psi_g(E_{\mathcal{O}_i} f(av)) = E_{\mathcal{O}_i} \psi_g(a E_{\mathcal{O}_i} f(v)) = ga F_i f(v), \end{aligned}$$

for any $ga \in \Pi_Q^\lambda G$, $v \in F_i V$. Thus, we obtain the functor

$$F_i : \Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda} G\text{-mod}.$$

Moreover, since $F_i V \cong E_{\mathcal{O}_i} V$ as Π_Q^λ -modules, we have $\dim F_i V = r_{\mathcal{O}_i} \dim V$ by Theorem 3.2.

As a matter of fact, we can also define a functor

$$F'_i : \Pi_Q^{d_{\mathcal{O}_i} \lambda} G\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-mod}$$

in a similar way. Note that $H_X = H_{E_{\mathcal{O}_i} X}$, it is straightforward to check that there are natural isomorphisms $V \rightarrow F'_i F_i V$ and $W \rightarrow F_i F'_i W$. Therefore F_i is an equivalence. $\square$

Now, we denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the group generated by the reflections $r_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ for all loop-free vertices $i \in I$. The length $l(w)$ of $w \in \mathcal{W}$ is said to be n if there is a expression $w = r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_n}} \cdots r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_2}} r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_1}}$ with shortest length. For each $w \in \mathcal{W}$, we define an action of w on k^I by

$$(w\lambda) \cdot \alpha = \lambda \cdot (w^{-1}\alpha)$$

for all $\lambda \in k^I$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. If $w = r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_n}} \cdots r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_2}} r_{\mathcal{O}_{i_1}}$, it is easy to see that $w\lambda = d_{\mathcal{O}_{i_1}} d_{\mathcal{O}_{i_2}} \cdots d_{\mathcal{O}_{i_n}} \lambda$ and λ satisfies $(*)$ if and only if $w\lambda$ satisfies $(*)$.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose G is an abelian group acting on Q admissibly. If $\mu = w\lambda$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that w has minimal length with this property, then there is an equivalence

$$\Pi_Q^\lambda G\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \Pi_Q^\mu G\text{-mod},$$

which acts as w on dimension vector.

Proof. By induction on the length of w , it deduces to the case when w is the reflection $r_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ for some $i \in I$. Let $w = r_{\mathcal{O}_i}$, if $\lambda_i = 0$, then $w\lambda = \lambda$, this contradict to the minimality of w . So $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and the corollary follows from Theorem 1.3. $\square$

4 Morita equivalence

In this section, the aim is to prove Theorem 1.4. Let us recall some definitions of group species and their representations introduced in [8].

Definition 4.1. A group species is a triple $\Gamma = (I, (G_i)_{i \in I}, (A_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$, where I is a set, G_i is a group for $i \in I$, and $A_{i,j}$ is a (kG_j, kG_i) -bimodule for $(i,j) \in I^2$ (kG_j acts on the left and kG_i acts on the right).

Definition 4.2. A representation of Γ is a pair $((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$, where V_i is a k -representation of G_i for $i \in I$, and $x_{i,j} \in \text{Hom}_{G_i}(A_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} V_i, V_j)$ for $(i,j) \in I^2$.

Let $V = ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ and $V' = ((V'_i)_{i \in I}, (x'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ be two representations of Γ , the morphism from V to V' is a family $(f_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{G_i}(V_i, V'_i)$ such that the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} V_i & \xrightarrow{x_{i,j}} & V_j \\ \text{id}_{A_{i,j}} \otimes f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow f_j \\ A_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} V'_i & \xrightarrow{x'_{i,j}} & V'_j. \end{array}$$

A representation $V = ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ is said to be finite if $\dim_k(V_i)$ are all finite. It is easy to check that all finite representations of a group species Γ together with the morphisms defined as above form an abelian category. We denote it by $\mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma)$.

Obviously, if G_i is a trivial group for each $i \in I$, the category $\mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma)$ coincide with the category of finite representations of quiver.

Let G, H be two groups. For any (kG, kH) -bimodule V and (kH, kG) -bimodule V' , a non degenerate paring between V and V' is a bilinear map $\langle -, - \rangle$ from $V \times V'$ to k such that for all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $v' \in V' \setminus \{0\}$, $\langle v, - \rangle$ and $\langle -, v' \rangle$ do not vanish and such that for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$ and $(v, v') \in V \times V'$, $\langle gvh, v' \rangle = \langle v, hv'g \rangle$.

Definition 4.3. The double group species Γ^d is a triple $(\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ where $\Gamma = (I, (G_i)_{i \in I}, (A_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ is a group species and for each $(i, j) \in I^2$, $\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j}$ is a non degenerate paring between $A_{i,j}$ and $A_{j,i}$ satifying for each $(a, a') \in A_{i,j} \times A_{j,i}$, $\langle a, a' \rangle_{i,j} = \langle a', a \rangle_{j,i}$, and $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ is an automorphism of $A_{i,j}$ such that $\varepsilon_{i,j} = -{}^t \varepsilon_{j,i}$ (${}^t \varepsilon_{j,i}$ is the transpose of $\varepsilon_{j,i}$).

By the definition, one see that Γ^d is a double quiver if Γ is a quiver.

Let now $\Gamma^d = (\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ be a double group species. For each representation $((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ of Γ^d and $(i, j) \in I^2$, there is an isomorphism [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \text{Hom}_{G_j}(A_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} V_i, V_j) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_i}(V_i, A_{j,i} \otimes_{G_j} V_j) \\ f &\longmapsto \tilde{f} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(v) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i,j}} a^* \otimes f(a \otimes v)$ for $v \in V_i$, $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ is a basis of $A_{i,j}$ and a^* is the element of $A_{j,i}$ corresponding to a in the dual basis (under the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j}$) of $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$. By this isomorphism, we give one definition as follows.

Definition 4.4. For any $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in k^I$, a representation $((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ of double group species $\Gamma^d = (\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ is said to satisfy the λ -preprojective relations if for each $i \in I$,

$$\sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i = 0.$$

Note that all representations of Γ^d satisfying λ -preprojective relations form a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma)$, which is denoted by $\lambda\text{-Rep}(\Gamma)$. In particular, if Γ is a double quiver, the λ -preprojective relations coincide with the deformed preprojective relations stated in Section 3.

The following lemma is similar to [8, Lemma 13]. For the completeness we skecth the proof.

Lemma 4.1. The category $\lambda\text{-Rep}(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ or $\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j}$ up to isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to prove there is a category equivalence

$$F : \mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma)$$

such that F sends the λ -preprojective relations of $\Gamma_1^d = (\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ to the λ -preprojective relations of $\Gamma_2^d = (\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$, for any double group species Γ_1^d and Γ_2^d .

First of all, we prove that $\lambda\text{-Rep}(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of $\varepsilon_{i,j}$. Given any $(i, j) \in I^2$, we define an automorphism $\varphi_{i,j}$ of $A_{i,j}$ such that $\varphi_{j,i} = \varepsilon'_{j,i} {}^t \varphi_{i,j}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j,i}^{-1}$, and a functor

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\Gamma) \\ ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}) &\mapsto ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j} \circ (\varphi_{i,j}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_i}))_{(i,j) \in I^2}). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that F is an equivalence of categories. F sends the representations of Γ satisfying λ -preprojective relations of type $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ to the representations of Γ satisfying λ -preprojective relations of type $\varepsilon'_{i,j}$.

Secondly, suppose $\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j} \neq \langle -, - \rangle_{i,j}$. For any $(i, j) \in I^2$, any basis $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ of $A_{i,j}$, we denote by $d(x)$ and $d'(x)$ the dual basis of the element $x \in \mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ for the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j}$ and $\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j}$ respectively. Let $\varepsilon''_{i,j}$ be the automorphism of $A_{i,j}$ satisfying $\varepsilon''_{i,j}(d'(x)) = \varepsilon_{i,j}(d(x))$ for any $x \in \mathcal{B}_{i,j}$. Note that $\varepsilon''_{i,j}$ does not depend on the basis $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$, we have $\varepsilon''_{i,j} = -{}^t\varepsilon''_{j,i} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon''_{i,j}(d'(\varepsilon''_{j,i}(d'(x)))) = -x, \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_{i,j} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{i,j}(d(\varepsilon_{j,i}(d(x)))) = -x, \forall x \in \mathcal{B}_{i,j} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{i,j} = -{}^t\varepsilon_{j,i}$. Therefore, $(\Gamma, (\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon''_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ is a double group species and

$$\sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes id_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i = 0 \text{ if and only if } \sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon''_{j,i} \otimes id_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i = 0,$$

for each $i \in I$. Hence, It reduces the case that $\lambda\text{-Rep}(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ again.

□

From now on, we view Λ and kG as subalgebras of ΛG and identify ΛG with the algebra

$$\langle \Lambda, kG \mid g(a) = ga g^{-1}, \forall g \in G, \forall a \in \Lambda \rangle_{\text{algebra}}$$

for any skew group algebra ΛG .

Given a finite quiver $Q = (I, A)$ and a group $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(Q)$, then G acts on the path algebra kQ by permuting the set of primitive idempotents $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$. It induces an action of G on $k\overline{Q}$ in a natural way, i.e., $(a')^g = (a^g)'$ for any $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. As in Section 2, we get a skew group algebra $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ if vertices i and j are in the same G -orbit.

For each $i \in I$, we denote by G_i the subgroup of G stabilizing e_i , and by

$$A_{i,j} := e_j(\text{rad}(kQ)/\text{rad}^2(kQ))e_i,$$

where $\text{rad}(kQ)$ is the Jacobson radical of kQ . Then we can get a group species

$$\Gamma := (I, (G_i)_{i \in I}, (A_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$$

and a double group species

$$\overline{\Gamma}^d = (\overline{\Gamma}, (\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}, (\varepsilon_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2}),$$

where $\overline{\Gamma} := (I, (G_i)_{i \in I}, (\overline{A}_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$, $\overline{A}_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \oplus A_{j,i}^*$, $A_{j,i}^* := \text{Hom}_k(A_{j,i}, k)$, $\varepsilon_{i,j} = \text{id}_{A_{i,j}} \oplus (-\text{id}_{A_{j,i}^*})$, and $\langle -, - \rangle_{i,j} : \overline{A}_{i,j} \times \overline{A}_{j,i} \rightarrow k$ given by

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{i,j} = b_2(a_1) + a_2(b_1)$$

for $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \overline{A}_{i,j}$ and $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \overline{A}_{j,i}$. It is easy to see that

- (1) $\langle gag^{-1}, gbg^{-1} \rangle_{gi,gj} = \langle a, b \rangle_{i,j}$, for $a \in \overline{A}_{i,j}$, $b \in \overline{A}_{j,i}$ and $g \in G$;
- (2) $\varepsilon_{gi,gj}(gag^{-1}) = g\varepsilon_{i,j}(a)g^{-1}$, for $a \in \overline{A}_{i,j}$, and $g \in G$

where we denote $gi := g(i)$ for convenience.

Let $\tilde{I}$ be a set of representatives of the classes of I under the action of G on I , $E_{i,j}$ a set of representatives of the classes of $\tilde{I}^2$ under the action of G on $\mathcal{O}_i \times \mathcal{O}_j$, where $\mathcal{O}_i$ is the G -orbit of i . If $i_0 \in \tilde{I}$ is a representative of the class of i , we denote by κ_i the element of G such that $\kappa_i i_0 = i$.

Now, we want define a new double group species. Firstly, for any $(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^2$, we let

$$\tilde{A}_{i,j} = \bigoplus_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} G_j \kappa_{j'}^{-1} \bar{A}_{i',j'} \kappa_{i'} G_i.$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ is a (kG_j, kG_i) -bimodule and $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ does not depend on the choice of $E_{i,j}$. Therefore, we get a group species $\tilde{\Gamma}_G := (\tilde{I}, (G_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (\tilde{A}_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$. Secondly, for any $(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^2$, $h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1 \in \tilde{A}_{i,j}$, $g_2 \kappa_{i''}^{-1} b \kappa_{j''} h_2 \in \tilde{A}_{j,i}$, we define

$$\langle h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1, g_2 \kappa_{i''}^{-1} b \kappa_{j''} h_2 \rangle'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \langle \kappa_{j''} h_2 h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1 g_2 \kappa_{i''}^{-1}, b \rangle_{i',j'}, & \text{if } (i', j') = (i'', j''), \\ & \text{and } \kappa_{j''} h_2 h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} \kappa_{i'} g_1 g_2 \kappa_{i''}^{-1} = e; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and $\varepsilon'_{i,j}(h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1) = \frac{1}{|G_i||G_j|} h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} \varepsilon_{i,j}(a) \kappa_{i'} g_1$. Then, $(\tilde{\Gamma}_G, (\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2}, (\varepsilon'_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$ is a double group species (see [8, Lemma 16]). We define the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : (k\bar{Q})G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_G) \\ V &\mapsto ((W_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (y_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $W_i = e_i V$ for each $i \in \tilde{I}$ and $y_{i,j} : \tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} W_i \rightarrow W_j$, $y_{i,j}(a \otimes v) = av$ for each $(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^2$. Then, by [8, Proposition 15] we have

Lemma 4.2. $\Phi : (k\bar{Q})G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_G)$ is a categories equivalence. $\square$

For $i, j \in I$, we define an equivalence relation “ $\sim$ ” on $G_i \times G_j$ by $(g, h) \sim (g', h')$ if and only if $h \kappa_j^{-1} \kappa_i g = h' \kappa_j^{-1} \kappa_i g'$, and denote by $G_{i,j}$ the set of representatives of the equivalence classes for this relation, then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j} = \bigcup_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \bigcup_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} h \kappa_{j'}^{-1} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{i',j'} \kappa_{i'} g$$

is a basis of $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ for any $(i, j) \in \tilde{I}^2$, where $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$ is a basis of $\bar{A}_{i,j}$ [8]. One can check that, if $h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$, the dual basis of $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$ for $\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j}$ is $(h_1 \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g_1)^* = g_1^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} a^* \kappa_{j'} h_1^{-1}$, where a^* is the dual basis of $A_{j',i'}$ corresponding to a in $\mathcal{B}_{i',j'}$ for $\langle -, - \rangle_{i',j'}$.

As the proof of [8, Proposition. 17], we have

Proposition 4.3. If $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for any vertices i, j in the same G -orbit, then the category of representations of $(k\bar{Q})G$ satisfying the λ -preprojective relations is equivalent to the category of representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_G$ satisfying the ξ -preprojective relations, where $\xi = (\xi_{i_0})_{i_0 \in \tilde{I}}$, $\xi_{i_0} = \frac{\lambda_{i_0}}{|G_{i_0}|}$ if $i \in \mathcal{O}_{i_0}$.

Proof. Note that $|G_i| = |G_j|$ if i and j are in the same G -orbit. The hypothesis of $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$, $\xi = (\xi_{i_0})_{i_0 \in \tilde{I}}$ are given well.

Recall that there is an equivalence functor $\Phi : (k\bar{Q})G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_G)$ and every $(k\bar{Q})G$ representation $V = ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$ is G -invariant. It follows that

$$\sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i \text{id}_{V_i} = 0$$

for any $i \in I$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i \text{id}_{V_i} = 0$, for any $i \in \tilde{I}$. For this reason, it reduces to prove that $\Phi(V) = ((W_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (y_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$ satisfies $\sum_{j \in I} y_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_j}) \circ \tilde{y}_{i,j} - \xi_i \text{id}_{W_i} = 0$ if and only if $V = ((V_i)_{i \in I}, (x_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in I^2})$ satisfies $\sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j} - \lambda_i \text{id}_{V_i} = 0$ for any $i \in \tilde{I}$ and $(k\overline{Q})G$ -module V . For all $i \in \tilde{I}$ and $v \in W_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_i v &= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_j}) \circ \tilde{y}_{i,j}(v) = \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) a v \\
&= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i',j'}} \varepsilon'_{j,i}((g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} a \kappa_{j'} h^{-1})^*) h \kappa_{j'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g v \\
&= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i',j'}} \frac{1}{|G_{i'}| |G_{j'}|} g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} \varepsilon_{j',i'}(a^*) a \kappa_{i'} g v \\
&= \frac{1}{|G_i|} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i',j'}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} (g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} a^* \kappa_{i'} g) g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} a \kappa_{i'} g v \\
&= \frac{1}{|G_i|} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{i, g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'}} \varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} (a^*) a v \\
&= \frac{1}{|G_i|} \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} x_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} \circ (\varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'}}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i, g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Define a map $\zeta_{i',j'} : G_{i',j'} \rightarrow I$ by $(g, h) \mapsto g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'$ for all $(i', j') \in E_{i,j}$, $i, j \in I$. It is easy to check that $\text{Im} \zeta_{i',j'} = I_{i',j'} := \{j'' \in I \mid \exists g \in G, \text{ such that } (i, j'') = g(i', j')\}$ and $|\zeta_{i',j'}^{-1}(j'')| = |G_j|$ for any $j'' \in I_{i',j'}$, where $\zeta_{i',j'}^{-1}(j'')$ is the set of preimages of j'' . Note that

$$I = \bigcup_{j \in \tilde{I}} \bigcup_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} I_{i',j'},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \frac{1}{|G_j|} \sum_{(i',j') \in E_{i,j}} \sum_{(g,h) \in G_{i',j'}} x_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} \circ (\varepsilon_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j', i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_{g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'}}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i, g^{-1} \kappa_{i'}^{-1} j'}(v) - \xi_i v \\
&= \sum_{j \in I} x_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{V_j}) \circ \tilde{x}_{i,j}(v) - \lambda_i v
\end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \tilde{I}$. The proof is completed. $\square$

In the following we define a new quiver $Q_G = (I_G, (A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)})_{((i,\rho),(j,\sigma)) \in I_G^2})$ associated with the group species $\tilde{\Gamma}_G = (\tilde{I}, (G_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (\tilde{A}_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$ by

$$I_G = \bigcup_{i \in \tilde{I}} \{i\} \times \text{irr}(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)} = \text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)^*,$$

where $(i, \rho), (j, \sigma) \in I_G$, $\text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)^* = \text{Hom}_k(\text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma), k)$, and $\text{irr}(G_i)$ is the set of representatives of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G_i .

For $(i, \rho), (j, \sigma) \in I_G$ and two vector species V, W , there is a linear isomorphism

$$\varphi_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)} : \text{Hom}_k(\text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)^* \otimes_k V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho \otimes_k V, \sigma \otimes_k W)$$

define by $\varphi_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(f)(a \otimes t \otimes v) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} g(a \otimes t) \otimes f(g^* \otimes v)$, which does not depend on the choice of a basis $\mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ of $\text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)$.

By [8, Proposition 19], if we define the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : kQ_G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_G) \\ ((V_{(i,\rho)})_{(i,\rho) \in I_G}, (x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)})_{((i,\rho),(j,\sigma)) \in I_G^2}) &\mapsto ((W_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (y_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $W_i = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{irr}(G_i)} \rho \otimes_k V_{(i,\rho)}$ and $y_{i,j} = \left(\varphi_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}) \right)_{|\text{irr}(G_j)| \times |\text{irr}(G_i)|}$ for $i, j \in \tilde{I}$. We have

Lemma 4.4. $\Psi : kQ_G\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}_G)$ is a categories equivalence. $\square$

We claim that Q_G is a double quiver. Indeed, let $(i, \rho), (j, \sigma) \in I_G$, $f \in A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)} = \text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)$, and $g \in A'^*_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} = \text{Hom}_{G_i}(\tilde{A}_{j,i} \otimes_{G_j} \sigma, \rho)$, we define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a : \rho &\longrightarrow \rho \\ r &\mapsto g(a^* \otimes f(a \otimes r)) \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$, a^* is the element of $\tilde{A}_{j,i}$ corresponding to a in the dual basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$ for $\langle -, - \rangle'_{i,j}$. It is easy to see that ϕ_a is a G_i -representation homomorphism. Since ρ is irreducible, we know that ϕ_a is a multiple of the identity and $g(a^* \otimes f(a \otimes r)) = \frac{1}{\dim_k \rho} \text{Tr} \phi_a \cdot r$, where $\text{Tr} \phi_a$ is the trace of ϕ_a . Now, we let

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)} = \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \text{Tr} \phi_a \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}(f) = f \circ ({}^t \varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_\rho) = -f \circ (\varepsilon'_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}_\rho),$$

for $(i, \rho), (j, \sigma) \in I_G$. One can check that $\langle -, - \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ is a non degenerate paring and $\varepsilon_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} = -{}^t \varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$. Thus, one has also a non degenerate paring between $A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ and $A'_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}$, which we also denote by $\langle -, - \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$. The automorphism $\varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ can be seen as an automorphism of $A'_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ through $\langle -, - \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$, and therefore Q_G is a double quiver.

Proposition 4.5. For any $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}$, the category of representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_G$ satisfying the ξ -preprojective relations is equivalent to the category of representations of Q_G satisfying the η -preprojective relations, where $\eta = (\eta_{(i,\rho)})_{(i,\rho) \in I_G}$ satisfying $\eta_{(i,\rho)} = \dim_k \rho \cdot \xi_i$.

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ a basis of $\text{Hom}_{G_j}(\tilde{A}_{i,j} \otimes_{G_i} \rho, \sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}$ form a dual basis for $\langle -, - \rangle_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}$, $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}$, we define map $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} : \rho \rightarrow \tau$, by $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \beta(\varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r))$ for any $r \in \rho$. One can check that $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ does not depend on the choice of a basis $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}$ of $\tilde{A}_{i,j}$, and $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a G_i -representations homomorphism (or see [8, Proposition 20]).

Therefore, $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ if $\tau \neq \rho$, since τ and ρ are irreducible. And if $\tau = \rho$, for any $r \in \rho$, there is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\alpha, \varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}^{-1}(\beta)}(r) &= \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}^{-1}(\beta)(\varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)) \\ &= - \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} (\beta \circ (\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_\sigma))(\varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)) \\ &= - \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \beta(a^* \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)) = - \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}}{\dim_k \rho} r \end{aligned}$$

Now, given any Q_G -representation $V = ((V_{(i,\rho)})_{(i,\rho) \in I_G}, (x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)})_{((i,\rho),(j,\sigma)) \in I_G^2})$, if $\Psi(V) = ((W_i)_{i \in \tilde{I}}, (y_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \tilde{I}^2})$ satisfies the ξ -preprojective relations, that is, for all $i \in \tilde{I}$, $r \otimes v \in \rho \otimes V_{(i,\rho)} \subseteq W_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi_i(r \otimes v) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \tilde{I}} y_{j,i} \circ (\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_j}) \circ \tilde{y}_{i,j}(r \otimes v) = \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j,i} ((\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_j}) (\sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} a^* \otimes y_{i,j}(a \otimes r \otimes v))) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} y_{j,i} ((\varepsilon'_{j,i} \otimes \text{id}_{W_j}) (\sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} a^* \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in \text{irr}(G_j)} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} \alpha(a \otimes r) \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v))) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{irr}(G_j)} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} y_{j,i} (\varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r) \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \tilde{I}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i,j}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{irr}(G_j)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} \beta(\varepsilon'_{j,i}(a^*) \otimes \alpha(a \otimes r)) \otimes x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}(\beta^* \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&\quad \tau \in \text{irr}(G_i) \quad \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\tau)} \\
&= \sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\tau)}} \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}(r) \otimes x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}(\beta^* \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&= \sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\tau)}} \varphi_{\alpha,\varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}^{-1}(\beta)}(r) \otimes x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}((\varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}^{-1}(\beta))^* \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&= - \sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{(j,\sigma),(i,\tau)}} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}}{\dim_k \rho} r \otimes x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}({}^t \varepsilon_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\beta^*) \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&= \frac{r}{\dim_k \rho} \otimes \sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}} x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}(\varepsilon_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)}(\alpha) \otimes x_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(\alpha^* \otimes v)) \\
&= \frac{r}{\dim_k \rho} \otimes \sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} \circ (\varepsilon_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} \otimes \text{id}_{V_{(j,\sigma)}}) \circ \tilde{x}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to say that

$$\sum_{(j,\sigma) \in I_G} x_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} \circ (\varepsilon_{(j,\sigma),(i,\rho)} \otimes \text{id}_{V_{(j,\sigma)}}) \circ \tilde{x}_{(i,\rho),(j,\sigma)}(v) - \eta_{(i,\rho)}(v) = 0,$$

for any $v \in V_{(i,\rho)}$. Hence we get the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.5. $\square$

By the definition, for any quiver Q we can get the quiver Q_G , given by the double group species corresponding to Q .

In particular, if Q is a Dynkin quiver, we can describe the quiver Q_G immediately by direct calculation, which are given as the following table.

Q	G	Q_G
$A_{2n+1}(n \geq 0) :$ $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n \rightarrow n+1$ $1' \rightarrow 2' \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n' \rightarrow n+1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n \begin{cases} \rightarrow n+1' \\ \rightarrow n+1 \end{cases}$
$D_4 :$ $2 \leftarrow 1 \begin{cases} \rightarrow 2'' \\ \rightarrow 2' \end{cases}$	S_3	$1' \rightarrow 2$ $1 \begin{cases} \rightarrow 2 \\ \rightarrow 2' \end{cases}$ $1'' \rightarrow 2'$
$D_n(n \geq 0) :$ $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-2 \begin{cases} \rightarrow n-1' \\ \rightarrow n-1 \end{cases}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-2 \begin{cases} \rightarrow n-1 \\ \rightarrow n-1' \end{cases}$ $1' \rightarrow 2' \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-2' \rightarrow n-1$
$E_6 :$ $4' \leftarrow 3' \leftarrow 2 \xrightarrow{1} 3 \rightarrow 4$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$1' \rightarrow 2' \rightarrow 3 \xleftarrow{4} 2 \leftarrow 1$

Since the deformed preprojective algebra Π_Q^λ does not depend on the orientation of Q , the above table shows that for all the Dynkin quivers with relevant nontrivial automorphism group, Q_G is also a Dynkin quiver. Thus, by [5, Theorem 7.3] we have

Corollary 4.6. Assume that Q is a Dynkin quiver and $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ once the vertices i and j are in the same G -orbit. Then there is a Dynkin quiver Q' such that $\Pi_Q^\lambda G$ is Morita equivalent to $\Pi_{Q'}$.

References

- [1] I.M. Gelfand, V.A. Ponomarev, Model algebras and representations of graphs. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 13(1980) 157-166.
- [2] P. Kronheimer, The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients, *J. Diff. Geom.* 29(1989) 665-683.
- [3] G. Lusztig, Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4(1991) 365-421.
- [4] G. Lusztig, Affine quivers and canonical bases, *Publ. IHES* 76 (1992) 111-163.
- [5] W. Crawley-Boevey, M.P. Holland, Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. *Duke Math. J.* 92(1998) 605-635.
- [6] S.L. Yang, Quantum groups and deformations of preprojective algebras, *J. Algebra* 279(2004) 3-21.
- [7] H.L. Huang, S.L. Yang, Quantum groups and double quiver algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 71(2005) 49-61.
- [8] L. Demonet, Skew group algebras of path algebras and preprojective algebras, arXiv: 0902.1390.
- [9] M. Auslander, Rational singularities and almost split sequences, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 293(2)(1986) 511-531.
- [10] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Almost split sequences for rational double points, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 302(1)(1987) 87-97.
- [11] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, Representation theory of Artin algebras. *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, Vol.36, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [12] O. Funes, M.J. Redondo, Skew group algebras of simply connected algebras. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec* 26(2)(2002) 171-180.
- [13] I. Reiten, C. Riedtmann, Skew group algebras in the representation theory of Artin algebras, *J. Algebra* 92(1)(1985) 224-282.
- [14] R. Martínez-Villa, Skew group algebras and their Yoneda algebras, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 43(2001) 1-16.
- [15] I. Assem, M. Lanzilotta, M.J. Redondo, Laura skew group algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 35(7)(2007) 2241-2257.

- [16] J. Dionne, M. Lanzilotta, D. Smith, Skew group algebras of piecewise hereditary algebras are piecewise hereditary, *J. Pure App. Algebra* 213(2)(2009) 241-249.
- [17] M.M. Zhang, F. Li, Representations of skew group algebras induced from isomorphically invariant modules over path algebras, *J. Algebra* 321(2009) 567-581.
- [18] P. Gabriel, The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra, *Representations of Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 903, Springer, 1982, pp. 68-105.
- [19] G.X. Liu, Classification of finite dimensional basic Hopf algebras and related topics, dissertation for the Doctoral Degree, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 2005.